US 90 (FUTURE I-49) LA 318 INTERCHANGE ST. MARY PARISH DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT State Project No. H.004932/Federal Project No. H004932

Part and Section Number	Proposer Comment	Department Response
Addendum Number 1	In Addendum Number 1, the Department revised the Northwest Frontage Road criteria to meet LC-2 classification. We have been unable to find any information on LC-2. Will the Department be able to furnish us with a copy of LC-2 Design Criteria.	See Addendum Number 2.
Design Drawings	Along the Northwest Frontage Road, between approximate Stations 425+00 to 445+00, the required right-of-way appears to abut the back of the existing property owners parcels. This condition impacts a total of 11 parcels. Is it the intent of the Department to allow these homeowners, at some point in the future, to be able to apply for a driveway permit to access the new frontage road or is it the intent of the Department to purchase diminished access rights of these homeowners so they cannot access this frontage road?	The LA DOTD's intent is to allow these homeowners, at some point in the future, to apply for a driveway permit to access this new frontage road.

US 90 (FUTURE I-49)
LA 318 INTERCHANGE
ST. MARY PARISH
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT
State Project No. H.004932/Federal Project No. H004932

Part and Section Number	Duonogou Commont	Department Degrange
	Proposer Comment	Department Response
Contract Documents, Part 2	Section O states "Right-of-Way Acquisition	See Addendum Number 2.
– Design-Build Sections	Manager: The Right-of-Way (ROW)	
100s, DB Section 108,	Acquisition Manager must be a Louisiana	
Appendix 108C – Key	License General Appraiser pursuant to the	
Personnel Qualifications	Louisiana Licensed Real Estate Appraiser Law,	
and Requirements, Section	as well as have a minimum of five years of	
(O)	demonstrated experience in highway ROW	
	appraisals, maps, acquisitions, and relocation	
	assistance on federally-funded projects."	
	Question - Typically a Real Estate Manager	
	would be an individual with experience in title	
	research, negotiations and administering	
	relocation benefits under the Uniform	
	Relocation Act (URA). The Appraisal Manager	
	would be a Louisiana licensed appraiser	
	involved in project appraisal requirements and	
	would not typically have knowledge and	
	experience with URA. We request that DOTD	
	revise the qualifications of the ROW Manager	
	by removing the need to be a licensed real	
	estate appraiser. We suggest adding the	
	qualifications for an Appraisal Manager as well.	
	quantitations for an application framager as well.	

US 90 (FUTURE I-49) LA 318 INTERCHANGE ST. MARY PARISH **DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT** State Project No. H.004932/Federal Project No. H004932

Part and Section Number	Proposer Comment	Department Response
Contract Documents, Part 3	Will DOTD allow all types of Test Level 3	See Addendum Number 2.
– Design Requirements and	barriers in the median?	
Performance		
Specifications, Appendix A		
Performance		
Specifications, Roadway		
Geometrics Performance		
Specification, Section		
5.4(B)		
Contract Documents, Part 3	Is there a specific analysis that DOTD would	The analysis is described in EDSM VI 1.1.8.
– Design Requirements and	like to see performed to satisfy the requirement	
Performance	to implement a TMP that "maintains or	
Specifications, Appendix A	improves safe traffic flow"?	
- Performance		
Specifications,		
Maintenance of Traffic		
Performance Specification,		
Section 2.0(A)		

US 90 (FUTURE I-49)
LA 318 INTERCHANGE
ST. MARY PARISH
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT
State Project No. H.004932/Federal Project No. H004932

Part and Section Number	Proposer Comment	Department Response
Contract Documents, Part 3	We understand any project on the US 90	Level 3 is required on US 90. LA 318's required
– Design Requirements and	corridor requires a Level 3 TMP. Can you	analysis will be determined according to EDSM VI
Performance	confirm this? If the proposer's concept falls	1.1.8.
Specifications, Appendix A	within the requirements outlined in the	
Performance	LADOTD EDSM for a Level 2 TMP, will that	
Specifications,	be acceptable, or will a Level 3 be required	
Maintenance of Traffic	regardless of the proposer's plan to maintain	
Performance Specification,	traffic?	
Section 2.0(A)		
Contract Documents, Part 3	Will DOTD provide a pavement design section	No. The Proposer is responsible for the design of any
– Design Requirements and	specifically for diversion pavement on LA 318	temporary roads needed for the overall maintenance
Performance	based on sugarcane hauling vehicles?	of traffic.
Specifications, Appendix A		
- Performance		
Specifications,		
Maintenance of Traffic		
Performance Specification,		
Sections 2.0(H) and 6.2(N)		

US 90 (FUTURE I-49) LA 318 INTERCHANGE ST. MARY PARISH **DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT** State Project No. H.004932/Federal Project No. H004932

Part and Section Number	Proposer Comment	Department Response
Contract Documents, Part 3	Can DOTD clarify the modeling requirement?	See Addendum Number 2.
– Design Requirements and	What type of model? Which roadway(s) needs	
Performance	to modeled?	
Specifications, Appendix A		
Performance		
Specifications,		
Maintenance of Traffic		
Performance Specification,		
Section 5.0(H)		
Contract Documents, Part 3	Section 2.0B requires adherence/compliance	The LA DOTD will not require drilled piles or
 Design Requirements and 	with the mitigation commitments and	vibratory pile drivers for the entire Project. However,
Performance	conditions, and Section 6.1 states to avoid	the Design-Builder is responsible for mitigating noise
Specifications, Appendix A	impact pile driving where possible in noise	and vibration that may affect residences adjacent to
Performance	sensitive areas, and avoid nighttime activities in	the construction site.
Specifications,	residential areas. Does this require the use of	
Environmental	drilled piles and/or vibratory pile drivers for the	
Performance Specification,	entire project? If not, what is the distance from	
Sections 2.0(B) and 6.1	a residence that impact pile driving will be	
	prohibited?	

US 90 (FUTURE I-49)
LA 318 INTERCHANGE
ST. MARY PARISH
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT
State Project No. H.004932/Federal Project No. H004932

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS RESPONSES TO PROPOSER QUESTIONS (Questions received through February 5, 2015)

Part and Section Number	Proposer Comment	Department Response
Contract Documents, Part 3	When will DOTD make the determination	As stated in the performance specification, the LA
– Design Requirements and	about the trees, and what will it be based on?	DOTD will make a decision during final design. The
Performance	Who is responsible for removal or relocation? If	LA DOTD would like to keep the trees in place, if the
Specifications, Appendix A	the decision is to relocate the trees, is the D-B	final design can avoid impact to the trees. If not, the
Performance	responsible for assessing the condition of the	Design-Builder will be responsible for the relocation
Specifications,	tree for relocation? Also, how will DOTD	of the two trees noted.
Environmental	address this change in scope?	
Performance Specification,		
Section 6.1.2		
Contract Documents, Part 3	If a proposer modifies an alignment (mainline,	According to the Federal Highway Administration
 Design Requirements and 	LA318, ramps, frontage roads, or local roads) in	(FHWA) Louisiana Division, an environmental re-
Performance	any way from the approved Alternate E	evaluation will be required if the approved Alternate
Specifications, Appendix A	provided in the RFP, or if the proposed ROW	E alignment is modified. The documentation level for
Performance	does not exactly match the locations/areas as	the re-evaluation will be determined based on the
Specifications,	shown in the approved alternate, does that	context and magnitude of impact caused by the
Environmental	necessitate a re-evaluation of the environmental	modifications.
Performance Specification,	document? If the answer is no, then can DOTD	
Section 4.2 and Part 5 –	clarify/define what modification of the	An environmental re-evaluation may be required if
Engineering Data, Section	geometry or ROW would require further	the Right-of-Way (ROW) does not match the ROW
5.0 and Interchange for US	environmental evaluation?	as approved in the Environmental
90 and LA 318		Assessment/Finding of No Significant Impact
Environmental Assessment		(EA/FONSI). The need for a re-evaluation and

US 90 (Future I-49) LA 318 Interchange DB Project Final RFP Responses to Proposer Questions

US 90 (FUTURE I-49) LA 318 INTERCHANGE ST. MARY PARISH DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT State Project No. H.004932/Federal Project No. H004932

Part and Section Number	Proposer Comment	Department Response
and Finding of No		documentation level will be determined based on the
Significant Impact		context and magnitude of impact caused by the
		modifications.
Contract Documents, Part 3	If one area/quadrant of the project is modified	According to the FHWA Louisiana Division, when an
– Design Requirements and	such that it requires environmental re-	environmental re-evaluation is triggered by
Performance	evaluation, does that mean that no work (design	modifications in one area, and such modifications
Specifications, Appendix A	or construction) can proceed in other areas of	will not affect the approved decision in other areas
– Performance	the project that do match the approved alternate	(quadrants, roads, etc.), the Design-Builder may be
Specifications,	provided in the RFP?	able to commence design and construction on areas
Environmental		not affected or potentially affected by the re-
Performance Specification,		evaluation. Coordination with the FHWA Louisiana
Section 4.2 and Part 5 –		Division is critical to determine the extent of the
Engineering Data, Section		design and construction work to be performed during
5.0 and Interchange for US		the environmental re-evaluation.
90 and LA 318		
Environmental Assessment		
and Finding of No		
Significant Impact		

US 90 (FUTURE I-49)
LA 318 INTERCHANGE
ST. MARY PARISH
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT
State Project No. H.004932/Federal Project No. H004932

Part and Section Number	Proposer Comment	Department Response
Contract Documents, Part 3 – Design Requirements and Performance Specifications, Appendix A – Performance Specifications, Right-of- Way Acquisition Performance Specification, Section 4.0	What geographic limits will be required by DOTD for residential and tenant residential property comps.? During the appraisal/acquisition process, if it's required or desired by DOTD to expand the limits, how will DOTD address this change in scope?	Replacement comparables should be in the same school and voting district as the affected property, but the search area should be expanded until suitable comparables are found. This search for suitable comparables is considered part of the original scope of work and is not eligible for additional compensation. If no suitable comparable is found, new construction would be considered.
Contract Documents, Part 3 – Design Requirements and Performance Specifications, Appendix A – Performance Specifications, Right-of-Way Acquisition Performance Specification, Section 4.0	If no replacement housing can be found and the owner chooses to build, how will the DOTD address this change in scope?	If no replacement housing can be found, the displaced always has the right to build new construction housing (this would be part of the LA DOTD reimbursement cost). The LA DOTD will consider temporary housing costs as eligible reimbursement if needed to clear the Right-of-Way (ROW) for the Project.

US 90 (FUTURE I-49) LA 318 INTERCHANGE ST. MARY PARISH **DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT** State Project No. H.004932/Federal Project No. H004932

Part and Section Number	Proposer Comment	Department Response
Contract Documents, Part 3	In order to expedite construction, will DOTD	Typically, the LA DOTD acquires on an ownership
 Design Requirements and 	allow individual parcel acquisitions from the	basis, however, in order to expedite the Project, the
Performance	same property owner?	LA DOTD will work with the Design-Builder to
Specifications, Appendix A		implement separate acquisitions from the same
Performance		property owner.
Specifications, Right-of-		
Way Acquisition		
Performance Specification,		
Section 4.0		

US 90 (FUTURE I-49)
LA 318 INTERCHANGE
ST. MARY PARISH
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT
State Project No. H.004932/Federal Project No. H004932

Part and Section Number	Proposer Comment	Department Response
Contract Documents, Part 3	Section 4.0 Requirements, Part A states: Title	Right-of-Way consultants must be on the LA DOTD
– Design Requirements and	Research Reports – Title research reports shall	Real Estate 2015 Retainer Contract Panel.
Performance	be performed by one of the LA DOTD Real	
Specifications, Appendix A	Estate Section's approved title work consultants	
Performance	and shall consist of obtaining the necessary title	
Specifications, Right-of-	research reports in accordance with LA DOTD	
Way Acquisition	Title Research Report Manual. Question – Our	
Performance Specification,	consultant that we have on our Team to conduct	
Section 4.0	these Title and Right-of-Way services currently	
	has three individuals listed on LA DOTD's	
	2014 Titlework Panel Report and the Right-of-	
	Way Agent Consultant Panel and is currently	
	providing title work and right-of-way	
	acquisition services for DOTD. We request	
	concurrence from DOTD that having	
	individuals on this list allows our consultant to	
	conduct this type of work. In other words our	
	consultant does not have to also be on the 2015	
	Retainer Contract Panel as they are not.	

US 90 (FUTURE I-49)
LA 318 INTERCHANGE
ST. MARY PARISH
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT
State Project No. H.004932/Federal Project No. H004932

Part and Section Number	Proposer Comment	Department Response
Contract Documents, Part 3	If title issues such as multiple owners or large	If title issues identify large undivided interests, the
 Design Requirements and 	undivided interests prevent a normal acquisition	LA DOTD will expropriate as long as all other real
Performance	process, will DOTD expropriate in the name of	estate procedures are followed.
Specifications, Appendix A	the estate? Will DOTD allow partial buy-outs	
Performance	of some of the owners and expropriate the rest?	
Specifications, Right-of-		
Way Acquisition		
Performance Specification,		
Sections 4.0 and 5.0		
Contract Documents, Part 3	Will DOTD immediately file expropriation after	The 90 day negotiation period for improved parcels
 Design Requirements and 	the typical 90-day negotiation period for	and 30 day negotiation period for unimproved parcels
Performance	improved parcels and 30-day period for	will be used.
Specifications, Appendix A	unimproved parcels expires? If these time	
Performance	periods are unacceptable, what time periods	
Specifications, Right-of-	should the proposer assume? Do these time	
Way Acquisition	periods include counter offers? In the event that	
Performance Specification,	negotiations extend beyond these time periods,	
Sections 4.0 and 5.0	how will DOTD address this change in scope?	

US 90 (FUTURE I-49)
LA 318 INTERCHANGE
ST. MARY PARISH
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT
State Project No. H.004932/Federal Project No. H004932

Part and Section Number	Proposer Comment	Department Response
Contract Documents, Part 3	To assist the proposer with developing an	See Addendum Number 2.
– Design Requirements and	overall schedule, will DOTD define the review	
Performance	timelines (maximum # days) for each ROW	
Specifications, Appendix A	submittal type (ROW Plan, Conceptual Stage	
– Performance	Relocation Plan, JPR, Final ROW Map, ROW	
Specifications, Right-of-	Stage Relocation Plan, Appraisals/Just	
Way Acquisition	Compensation, etc.)? Can you define the	
Performance Specification,	maximum time needed for DOTD to approve	
Section 5.0	the Act of Sale, and to process the vouchers	
	(including cutting checks and providing these to	
	the design-builder)?	